Engineering Defitions Rubric (Rev. 2025-10-21)

Project Name: Reviewer:	Date:
-------------------------	-------

Students can use any or all worksheets.

The following documents were reviewed: __ Needs & Requirements workbook, __ Use Cases, __ User Stories

Criteria	Exceeds Expectations 93-100 (A), 90-92 (A-)	Matches Expectations 87-89 (B+), 83-86 (B), 80-82 (B-)	Fair 77-79 (C+), 73-76 (C), 70-72 (C-)	Engineering Definitions Improvement 67-69 (D+), 65-66 (D)	Unacceptable Below 65 (F)	Raw Numerical Score	Weight	Weighted Score
The Engineering Definition Document(s) contains (one or more of the following): • Clearly defined and evaluated customer needs, and requirements systematically derived from those needs • Well-developed use cases illustrating system interactions and operational scenarios • Well-defined user stories capturing functional expectations and user perspectives	are well-defined and developed. Engineering Definitions well capture the functions that the project must perform.	Engineering Definitions well capture the functions that the	Some Engineering Definitions are defined and developed. Engineering Definitions capture the functions that the project must perform.	specs are defined anddeveloped.	The Engineering Definitions and specs are not defined or are poorly developed. The Engineering Definitions need significant improvement to capture the functions that the project must perform.		0.33	
Engineering Definitions Include: Performance specifications Ergonomics Applicable Standards Usability Accessibility Reliability, Durability, and Availability Manufacturability and Material Maintainability and Support Environmental impact	The Engineering Definitions very well cover all of the topic areas. They are very helpful in defining what will make the project successful.	The Engineering Definitions well cover all of the topic areas. They are mostly helpful in defining what will make the project successful.	The Engineering Definitions cover some of the topic areas. They are somewhat helpful in defining what will make the project successful.	not very helpful in defining what	The Engineering Definitions do not cover most or any of the topic areas. It is unclear what will make the project successful.		0.33	
Overall Quality • Attention to Detail • Workmanship • Completeness in defining a Minimum Viable Product (MVP) • Consistent • Concise • Clarity	an MVP. They are very well	Engineering Definitions appear to be thorough and complete in defining the project. They very clearly define an MVP. They are well written. The wording is clear and concise and easy to	Many of the identified Engineering Definitions appear to be thorough and complete in defining the project. They somewhat define an MVP. They are somewhat well-written. The wording is somewhat clear, concise, and easy to understand.		Few or none of the identified Engineering Definitions appear to be thorough and complete in defining the project. An MVP is not clearly identified or defined. The writing of Engineering Definitions needs significant improvement. The wording is unclear and/or not concise making it difficult to understand.		0.34	
		•				Total	1.00	

Total 1.00

Comment