**Project Name:**

**Reviewer:**

**Date:** **Time: Section:**

# **Team Presentation**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | ***Exceeds Expectations 4.0 (A), 3.67 (A-)*** | ***Matches Expectations 3.33 (B+), 3.0 (B), 2.67 (B-)*** | ***Fair 2.33 (C+), 2.0 (C), 1.67 (C-)*** | ***Needs Improvements 1.33 (D+), 1.00 (D)*** | ***Unacceptable 0.0 (F)*** | **Numeric Score** |
| **Introduction**   * Stakeholders * Sponsor’s motivation * Customer needs * Customer benefits | It was very clear why this project is important for the stakeholders. | It was mostly clear why this project is important for the stakeholders. | It was somewhat clear why this project is important for the stakeholders. | It was unclear why this project is important for the stakeholders. | It was not presented why this project is important for the stakeholders. |  |
| **Problems** • Project History • Semester goals • Technical problems   to be solved | It was very clear what the team plan to do and why. | It was mostly clear what the team plan to do and why. | It was somewhat clear what the team plan to do and why. | It was somewhat unclear what the team plan to do and why. | It was not introduced what the team plan to do & why. |  |
| **Requirements** • Functional • Non-Functional  - Performance, Size,   and Usability   * Justification | Requirements and justification were very clear. | Requirements and justification were mostly clear | Requirements and justification were somewhat clear. | Requirements and justification were not clear. | Requirements and justification were not presented. |  |
| **Technical Approach** • Choice of Core   technology • System Architecture • Feasibility | Technical approach is very clear and appears to be sound. | Technical approach is mostly clear and appears to be sound. | Technical approach is somewhat clear and appears to be sound. | Technical approach is unclear and/or questionable. | Technical approach was inappropriate or not presented. |  |
| **Progress and Plan**   * Preliminary Results * Awareness of open Issues * Next steps | Much progress was made. Next steps were realistic and clearly defined. | Good progress was made. Most of the next steps were realistic and clearly defined. | Fair progress was made. Many of the next steps were realistic and clearly defined. | Slight progress was made. Some of the next steps were realistic and not clearly defined. | No progress was made. Next steps were unrealistic, unclear, or not presented. |  |
| **Poster**   * Contents * Structure / Reading * Visual presentation * Grammatical and spelling errors. | Information was complete, clear, and very easy to follow. There were no writing errors. | Information was complete, usually clear, and mostly easy to follow. There were minimal writing errors. | Information was complete, somewhat clear, and flowed well. There were some writing errors. | Information was unclear. There were noticeable writing errors. | Information was incomplete. |  |
| **What aspects of the project were impressive?** | | | | | | |
| **What are possible opportunities for improvement?** | | | | | | |
| **If you need more space, please use the back of this paper.** | | | | | | |

**Project Name:**

**Reviewer:**

# **Individual Presentation**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | ***Exceeds Expectations 4.0 (A), 3.67 (A-)*** | ***Matches Expectations 3.33 (B+), 3.0 (B), 2.67 (B-)*** | ***Fair 2.33 (C+), 3.0 (C), 1.67 (C-)*** | ***Needs Improvements 1.33 (D+), 1.00 (D)*** | ***Unacceptable 0.0 (F)*** |
| **Contents**   * **Information** - Accuracy - Completeness | Always accurate, complete, and clear. | Mostly accurate, complete, and clear. | Somewhat accurate, complete, and clear. | Frequently unclear, inaccurate, and/or incomplete and unclear. | Unclear, inaccurate, and/or incomplete. Insufficient Technical. |
| **Presentation**   * **Verbal** (volume, tone, pace, fillers, etc.) * **Non-Verbal**  (gestures, posture, eye contact, etc.) * **Openness**  - Defensiveness - Argumentativeness | It was very easy to understand without distracting mannerisms. | It was mostly easy to understand without distracting mannerisms. | It was somewhat easy to understand with few distracting mannerisms. | It was difficult to understand with some distracting mannerisms. | It was very difficult to understand with noticeable distracting mannerisms. |

## **Students**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **First Name &  Last Name** | **Contents Points** | **Presentation Points** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

**Any additional comments about the project?**

|  |
| --- |
|  |